

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/825,244

Applicant(s)

Singh et al.

Examiner

Joyce Tung

Art Unit

1637

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joyce Tung

(3) _____

(2) Stephen C. Macevicz

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 12, 2002Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:_____

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed:

_____Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Proposed new claims were discussed. The new claims appear to overcome 112, second paragraph rejections. Applicant will file CPA with preliminary amendment adding material from parent application SN 09/698846 to serve as support for new claims. LOCI prior art was discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required